UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,178	07/17/2006	Srinivas Gutta	US040050	2753
	7590 09/18/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		PAUL, DISLER		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2615		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/586,178		GUTTA, SRINIVAS				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			DISLER PA	UL	2615				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SOLEN TO STATE OF THE INSIGN OF TH	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, or	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event Il apply and will e cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION , however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>31 Jul</i>	v 2008						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 July 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the	application							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	—————————————————————————————————————								
	5)∐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election rec	uirement.					
		otion and, or	010001011100	diromont.					
	on Papers								
-	The specification is objected to by the			-					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	•	-						
	Applicant may not request that any object				* *				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		_	P) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da i) Notice of Informal P i) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/586,178

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amended claim with respect to "wherein the enhancing includes converting the incoming calls to text by speech recognition and displaying the text" has been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-11,13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta et al. (US 6,766,176 B1) and Butnaru et al. (US 6,240,392 B1).

Reclaim 1, the method for enhancing a usage of a telephone, the method comprising: receiving an incoming call and detecting an ambient noise level (fig.1,3-5; col.1 line 65 & col.2 line 7; col.4 line 12-17 & line 47-57); and enhancing the incoming call to make it more understandable by a recipient of the incoming call where the detected

ambient noise level is greater than a predetermined threshold noise level (fig.3-5,10; col.8 line 7-40; col.14 line 15-48).

However, Gupta et al. fail to disclose of the concept of wherein the enhancing includes converting the incoming call to text by speech recognition and displaying the text. However, Butnaru et al. disclose of a system wherein the enhancing comprises converting the incoming call to text and displaying the text to the recipient of the incoming call (fig.3; col.6 line 1-35; col.7 line 35-50/in loud environment wherein many device including phones with device may be converted as such) for the purpose of enabling the user to visualize speech and other sounds directed at him through various audio sources. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Gupta et al. and Butnaru et al. as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modify Gupta et al. by incorporating the wherein the enhancing comprises converting the incoming call to text and displaying the text to the recipient of the incoming call for the purpose of enabling the user to visualize speech and other sounds directed at him through various audio sources.

Re claim 2, the method of claim 1, wherein the enhancing further comprises automatically amplifying a loudness of the incoming call to the recipient of the incoming call (fig.3 wt (118,114,115),4-5;col.14 line 15-47).

Re claim 3, the method of claim 1, wherein the displaying of the text is to the recipient of the incoming call (McIntosh, col.3 line 60).

Re claim 4, the method of claim 1, the combined teaching of Gupta et al. and Butnaru et al. as a whole, further teach of the wherein the enhancing further comprises automatically amplifying a loudness of the incoming call to the recipient of the incoming call (fig.3 wt (118,114,115),4-5;col.14 line 15-47) and displaying of the text is to the recipient of the incoming call (see claim 1 rejection).

Re claim 5, the method of claim 1, wherein the telephone is a cellular telephone (fig.3; col.1 line 11-15).

Re claim 7, the telephone comprising: a receiver for receiving an incoming call and a noise sensor for detecting an ambient noise level (fig.3 wt (106,114)); and a processor for enhancing the incoming call to make it more understandable by a recipient of the incoming call where the detected ambient noise level is greater than a predetermined threshold noise level (fig.3-5,10; col.8 line 7-40; col.14 line 15-48).

However, Gupta et al. fail to disclose of the concept of wherein the enhancing includes converting the incoming call to alphanumeric

audio sources.

text by speech recognition and displaying the alphanumeric text. However, Butnaru et al. disclose of a system wherein the enhancing includes converting the incoming call to alphanumeric text by speech recognition and displaying the alphanumeric text (fig.3; col.3 line 63-col.4 line 6; col.6 line 1-35; col.7 line 35-50/in loud environment wherein many device including phones with device may be converted as such) for the purpose of enabling the user to visualize speech and other sounds directed at him through various audio sources. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Gupta et al. and Butnaru et al. as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modify Gupta et al. by incorporating the wherein the enhancing includes converting the

incoming call to alphanumeric text by speech recognition and

Re claim 8, the telephone of claim 7, further comprising a speaker for reproducing the incoming call, wherein the processor automatically amplifies a loudness of the incoming call on the speaker where the detected ambient noise level is greater than the predetermined threshold noise level (fig.1,10; col.8 line 5-25).

displaying the alphanumeric text for the purpose of enabling the user

to visualize speech and other sounds directed at him through various

Re claim 11, the telephone of claim 7, wherein the telephone is a cellular telephone (fig.3; col.1 line 11-15).

Re claims 13-14, 16-17 have been analyzed and rejected with respect to claims 1-2 respectively.

Re claim 10, the telephone of claim 7, the combined teaching of Gupta et al. and Butnaru et al. as a whole, further comprising a speaker for reproducing the incoming call and display screen for displaying the alphanumeric text to the recipient of the incoming call, and wherein the processor automatically amplifies a loudness of the incoming call on the speaker and converts the incoming call to text by speech recognition,, and displays the text to the recipient of the incoming call where the detected ambient noise level is greater than the predetermined threshold noise level (fig.1,3; col.8 line 7-40; col.14 line 15-48).

Re claim 9 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 10.

Re claims 15, 18 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 4,3 respectively.

4. Claims 6,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta et al. (US 6,766,176 B1) and Butnaru et al. (US 6,240,392 B1) and further in view of Lowe (US 2004/0229568 A1).

Re claim 6, the method of claim 2 with the telephone system, However, the combined teaching of Gupta et al. and Butnaru et al. as a whole, fail to disclose of the further comprising detecting whether a headphones is operatively connected to the telephone, wherein the amplifying is only carried out when the headphones are detected as being operatively connected. However, Lowe et al. disclose of a system wherein similar concept of comprising detecting whether a headphones is operatively connected to the telephone, wherein the amplifying is only carried out when the headphones are detected as being operatively connected (par[0043,0074]; fig.4 (50), fig.3/monitor headset to either signal with or without sound) for the purpose of providing entertainment on the individual basis without distracting other customers around. Thus, taking the combined teaching of the combined teaching of Gupta et al. and Butnaru et al. and Lowe as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modify the combined teaching of Gupta et al. and Butnaru et al. as a whole, by incorporating the concept of comprising detecting whether a headphones is operatively connected to the telephone, wherein the amplifying is only carried out when the headphones are detected as being operatively connected for

the purpose of providing entertainment on the individual basis without distracting other customers around.

Re claim 12 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 6 above.

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following prior art also disclose of the converting incoming phone call to text by speech recognition and displaying such text. Jugovec et al. (US 2004/0052342 A1) and Palmquist (US 2003/0125959 A1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Disler Paul whose telephone number is 571-270-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,178 Page 9

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2615

/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2615